

# AI Security Methodology Document

## Comprehensive AI Security Assessment Framework

**Version:** 1.0

**Date:** July 2025

**Classification:** Internal Use

**Document Type:** Security Methodology Standard

# Table of Contents

## [AI Security Methodology Document](#)

### [Comprehensive AI Security Assessment Framework](#)

#### [Table of Contents](#)

#### [Executive Summary](#)

##### [Key Objectives](#)

#### [Framework Overview](#)

##### [Core Principles](#)

##### [Framework Integration Map](#)

## [AI Security Assessment Methodology](#)

### [Phase 1: Preparation and Scoping](#)

#### [1.1 Assessment Planning](#)

#### [1.2 Information Gathering](#)

### [Phase 2: Asset Identification and Classification](#)

#### [2.1 AI Asset Inventory](#)

#### [2.2 Asset Classification Matrix](#)

### [Phase 3: Dependency Analysis](#)

#### [3.1 Supply Chain Assessment](#)

## [Attack Surface Analysis](#)

### [4.1 AI-Specific Attack Surfaces](#)

#### [Model Attack Surfaces](#)

#### [4.2 Attack Surface Mapping Methodology](#)

### [4.3 Attack Surface Assessment Checklist](#)

#### [Data Input Surfaces](#)

#### [Model Interfaces](#)

#### [Infrastructure Surfaces](#)

## [Threat Modeling for AI Systems](#)

### [5.1 AI Threat Modeling Framework](#)

#### [STRIDE-AI Enhancement](#)

#### [5.2 MITRE ATLAS Integration](#)

### [5.3 Threat Modeling Process](#)

#### [Step 1: System Decomposition](#)

#### [Step 2: Threat Identification](#)

#### [Step 3: Risk Assessment](#)

### [5.4 Threat Modeling Checklist](#)

#### [Pre-Modeling Preparation](#)

#### [Threat Identification](#)

#### [Risk Analysis](#)

## [Security Testing Protocols](#)

### [6.1 AI-Specific Testing Methodology](#)

#### [6.1.1 Adversarial Testing](#)

#### [6.1.2 Testing Protocol Framework](#)

### [6.2 LLM-Specific Testing Protocols](#)

#### [6.2.1 OWASP LLM Top 10 Testing](#)

### [6.3 Infrastructure Security Testing](#)

#### [6.3.1 Container Security Assessment](#)

#### [6.3.2 API Security Testing](#)

### [6.4 Testing Automation Framework](#)

#### [6.4.1 Continuous Security Testing](#)

## [Risk Evaluation Framework](#)

### [7.1 AI Security Risk Assessment Matrix](#)

#### [7.1.1 Impact Classification](#)

#### [7.1.2 Likelihood Assessment](#)

#### [7.1.3 Risk Scoring Matrix](#)

### [7.2 AI-Specific Risk Categories](#)

#### [7.2.1 Model Risk Assessment](#)

#### [7.2.2 Data Risk Assessment](#)

### [7.3 Risk Assessment Process](#)

#### [Step 1: Risk Identification](#)

#### [Step 2: Risk Analysis](#)

#### [Step 3: Risk Evaluation](#)

### [7.4 Risk Monitoring and Reporting](#)

#### [7.4.1 Risk Metrics](#)

## [Mitigation Strategies and Controls](#)

### [8.1 Control Framework Integration](#)

#### [8.1.1 CSA AI Controls Matrix Mapping](#)

#### [8.1.2 NIST AI RMF Control Integration](#)

### [8.2 AI-Specific Security Controls](#)

#### [8.2.1 Model Security Controls](#)

#### [8.2.2 Data Security Controls](#)

### [8.3 Control Implementation Framework](#)

#### [8.3.1 Control Selection Process](#)

#### [8.3.2 Control Effectiveness Measurement](#)

### [8.4 Mitigation Strategy Templates](#)

#### [8.4.1 High-Risk Mitigation Template](#)

## [Reporting and Documentation Standards](#)

### [9.1 Assessment Report Structure](#)

#### [9.1.1 Executive Summary Report](#)

#### [9.1.2 Technical Report](#)

#### [9.1.3 Management Report](#)

### [9.2 Documentation Standards](#)

#### [9.2.1 Finding Documentation Template](#)

#### [9.2.2 Test Case Documentation](#)

### [9.3 Quality Assurance Standards](#)

#### [9.3.1 Report Review Process](#)

#### [9.3.2 Documentation Management](#)

### [9.4 Metrics and KPIs](#)

#### [9.4.1 Assessment Metrics](#)

#### [9.4.2 Reporting Metrics](#)

### [Case Study Integration](#)

#### [10.1 Case Study Framework](#)

##### [10.1.1 Case Study Categories](#)

##### [10.1.2 Case Study Template](#)

#### [10.2 Industry-Specific Case Studies](#)

##### [10.2.1 Healthcare AI Security](#)

##### [10.2.2 Financial Services AI Security](#)

##### [10.2.3 Autonomous Vehicle AI Security](#)

#### [10.3 Emerging Threat Case Studies](#)

##### [10.3.1 Large Language Model Security](#)

##### [10.3.2 Federated Learning Security](#)

#### [10.4 Case Study Application Guidelines](#)

##### [10.4.1 Learning Integration](#)

##### [10.4.2 Continuous Improvement](#)

### [References and Standards](#)

#### [11.1 Primary Framework References](#)

##### [11.1.1 MITRE ATLAS](#)

##### [11.1.2 OWASP LLM Top 10](#)

##### [11.1.3 NIST AI Risk Management Framework](#)

##### [11.1.4 Google SAIF](#)

##### [11.1.5 ISO/IEC 27090](#)

##### [11.1.6 CSA AI Controls Matrix](#)

#### [11.2 Supporting Standards and Guidelines](#)

##### [11.2.1 International Standards](#)

##### [11.2.2 Industry Guidelines](#)

##### [11.2.3 Regulatory Frameworks](#)

#### [11.3 Technical References](#)

##### [11.3.1 Academic Research](#)

##### [11.3.2 Industry Publications](#)

### [Appendices](#)

#### [Appendix A: Risk Assessment Templates](#)

##### [A.1 AI System Risk Assessment Form](#)

##### [A.2 Threat Modeling Template](#)

#### [Appendix B: Security Testing Checklists](#)

##### [B.1 AI Model Security Testing Checklist](#)

##### [B.2 LLM Security Testing Checklist](#)

##### [B.3 Infrastructure Security Testing Checklist](#)

#### [Appendix C: Control Implementation Guides](#)

##### [C.1 Technical Control Implementation](#)

##### [C.2 Organizational Control Implementation](#)

#### [Appendix D: Compliance Mapping](#)

##### [D.1 Regulatory Compliance Matrix](#)

##### [D.2 Industry Standard Compliance](#)

[Appendix E: Metrics and KPIs](#)

[E.1 Security Metrics Dashboard](#)

[E.2 Operational Metrics](#)

[Appendix F: Tools and Technologies](#)

[F.1 Security Testing Tools](#)

[F.2 Monitoring and Detection Tools](#)

[F.3 Governance and Compliance Tools](#)

[Document Control](#)

[Version History](#)

[Document Approval](#)

[Distribution List](#)

[Next Review Date](#)

[Glossary](#)

# Executive Summary

This document establishes a comprehensive methodology for conducting AI security assessments, integrating industry-leading frameworks including MITRE ATLAS, OWASP LLM Top 10, NIST AI RMF, Google SAIF, ISO 27090, and CSA AI Controls Matrix. The methodology provides structured approaches for identifying, analyzing, and mitigating security risks in AI systems across their entire lifecycle.

## Key Objectives

- Establish standardized AI security assessment procedures
- Integrate industry best practices and frameworks
- Provide actionable guidance for security professionals
- Ensure comprehensive coverage of AI-specific attack vectors
- Enable consistent risk evaluation and reporting

# Framework Overview

## Core Principles

### 1. AI-First Security Approach

- Recognition that traditional security methodologies require adaptation for AI systems
- Integration of ML/AI-specific attack vectors and vulnerabilities
- Consideration of the entire AI pipeline from data to deployment

### 2. Lifecycle Integration

- Security assessment throughout the AI development lifecycle
- Continuous monitoring and reassessment capabilities
- Integration with DevSecOps practices

### 3. Risk-Based Prioritization

- Focus on high-impact, high-probability threats
- Business context consideration in risk assessment
- Resource allocation based on risk severity

## Framework Integration Map

Framework	Primary Focus	Integration Point
MITRE ATLAS	AI Attack Tactics	Threat Modeling, Testing
OWASP LLM Top 10	LLM Vulnerabilities	Vulnerability Assessment
NIST AI RMF	Risk Management	Risk Framework
Google SAIF	Secure AI Foundation	Architecture Review
ISO 27090	AI Security Standards	Compliance Verification
CSA AI Controls Matrix	Security Controls	Control Implementation

# AI Security Assessment Methodology

## Phase 1: Preparation and Scoping

### 1.1 Assessment Planning

**Objective:** Establish clear assessment scope, objectives, and constraints

**Checklist:**

- ☐ Define assessment scope and boundaries
- ☐ Identify AI system components and dependencies
- ☐ Establish assessment timeline and milestones
- ☐ Secure necessary approvals and access
- ☐ Assemble assessment team with appropriate expertise
- ☐ Review existing documentation and architecture
- ☐ Identify stakeholders and communication channels

**Deliverables:**

- ☐ Assessment Charter
- ☐ Scope Definition Document
- ☐ Risk Assessment Plan
- ☐ Communication Plan

### 1.2 Information Gathering

**AI System Documentation Review:**

- ☐ System architecture diagrams
- ☐ Data flow diagrams
- ☐ Model architecture and training procedures
- ☐ Deployment configurations
- ☐ Security controls inventory
- ☐ Compliance and regulatory requirements
- ☐ Incident history and lessons learned

**Technical Environment Assessment:**

- ☐ Infrastructure components mapping
- ☐ Network topology analysis
- ☐ Access control mechanisms review
- ☐ Data storage and processing locations
- ☐ Third-party integrations and dependencies
- ☐ Monitoring and logging capabilities



## Phase 2: Asset Identification and Classification

### 2.1 AI Asset Inventory

**Core AI Components:**

- Machine Learning Models
- Training Data Sets
- Inference Engines
- Feature Engineering Pipelines
- Model Repositories
- API Endpoints
- Monitoring Systems

**Supporting Infrastructure:**

- Compute Resources (GPUs, TPUs, CPUs)
- Storage Systems (Data Lakes, Warehouses)
- Network Components
- Container Orchestration Platforms
- CI/CD Pipelines
- Development Environments

### 2.2 Asset Classification Matrix

Asset Type	Criticality	Sensitivity	Exposure Level	Risk Rating
Production Models	High	High	External	Critical
Training Data	High	High	Internal	High
Model APIs	Medium	Medium	External	High
Development Data	Medium	Low	Internal	Medium
Test Models	Low	Low	Internal	Low

## Phase 3: Dependency Analysis

### 3.1 Supply Chain Assessment

**Third-Party Components:**

- Pre-trained models and their sources
- Open-source libraries and frameworks
- Cloud services and APIs
- Data providers and sources
- Model hosting platforms
- Monitoring and observability tools

**Dependency Risk Evaluation:**

- Vendor security posture assessment
- License compliance verification
- Update and patch management review
- Vendor lock-in risk analysis
- Data residency and sovereignty concerns

# Attack Surface Analysis

## 4.1 AI-Specific Attack Surfaces

### Model Attack Surfaces

#### 1. Training Phase Attacks

- Data poisoning vectors
- Backdoor insertion points
- Model stealing opportunities
- Training infrastructure vulnerabilities

#### 2. Inference Phase Attacks

- Adversarial input vectors
- Model inversion attack points
- Membership inference vulnerabilities
- Prompt injection surfaces (LLMs)

#### 3. Deployment Attack Surfaces

- API security gaps
- Model serving vulnerabilities
- Container security issues
- Network exposure points

## 4.2 Attack Surface Mapping Methodology

### Step 1: Surface Enumeration

For each AI system component:

1. Identify all input vectors
2. Map data flow paths
3. Catalog external interfaces
4. Document access controls
5. Assess monitoring coverage

### Step 2: Surface Prioritization

- High-value target identification
- External exposure assessment
- Attack complexity analysis
- Potential impact evaluation

### Step 3: Surface Documentation

- Attack surface diagrams

- Vulnerability correlation maps
- Access control matrices
- Monitoring gap analysis

## **4.3 Attack Surface Assessment Checklist**

### **Data Input Surfaces**

- Training data ingestion points
- Real-time inference inputs
- Feature store interfaces
- Data preprocessing pipelines
- External data source connections

### **Model Interfaces**

- REST API endpoints
- gRPC interfaces
- Batch processing interfaces
- Streaming data interfaces
- Model management APIs

### **Infrastructure Surfaces**

- Container registries
- Kubernetes clusters
- Cloud storage buckets
- Database connections
- Network load balancers
- CDN endpoints

# Threat Modeling for AI Systems

## 5.1 AI Threat Modeling Framework

### STRIDE-AI Enhancement

Traditional STRIDE + AI Extensions:

Threat Category	AI-Specific Threats	Examples
Spoofing	Model Impersonation	Malicious model replacement
Tampering	Data/Model Poisoning	Training data corruption
Repudiation	Inference Logs	Denial of AI decisions
Information Disclosure	Model Extraction	Proprietary algorithm theft
Denial of Service	Resource Exhaustion	Adversarial inputs causing crashes
Elevation of Privilege	Model Bias Exploitation	Unfair advantage through bias

## 5.2 MITRE ATLAS Integration

Attack Tactic Mapping:

Initial Access (TA0001)

- ML Supply Chain Compromise
- Valid Cloud Accounts
- Public-Facing Application

Execution (TA0002)

- Command and Scripting Interpreter
- Container Administration Command
- Serverless Execution

Persistence (TA0003)

- Backdoor Embedding
- Implant Container Image
- ML Artifact Poisoning

Defense Evasion (TA0005)

- Adversarial Perturbations
- Rogue ML Artifacts
- Abuse Elevation Control Mechanism

## 5.3 Threat Modeling Process

### Step 1: System Decomposition

1. Identify trust boundaries
2. Map data flows
3. Catalog external dependencies
4. Document privilege levels
5. Analyze attack paths

### Step 2: Threat Identification

- Use MITRE ATLAS tactics and techniques
- Apply OWASP LLM Top 10 (for LLM systems)
- Consider AI-specific threat vectors
- Evaluate supply chain risks

### Step 3: Risk Assessment

- Likelihood analysis using historical data
- Impact assessment based on business context
- Risk scoring using standardized matrices
- Threat prioritization for remediation

## 5.4 Threat Modeling Checklist

### Pre-Modeling Preparation

- System architecture review completed
- Stakeholder interviews conducted
- Asset inventory finalized
- Regulatory requirements identified

### Threat Identification

- MITRE ATLAS tactics reviewed
- OWASP LLM Top 10 applied
- Supply chain threats assessed
- Data privacy threats evaluated
- Model integrity threats identified

### Risk Analysis

- Likelihood scores assigned
- Impact assessments completed
- Risk matrix populated
- Threat prioritization established

# Security Testing Protocols

## 6.1 AI-Specific Testing Methodology

### 6.1.1 Adversarial Testing

**Objective:** Evaluate model robustness against adversarial attacks

**Testing Categories:**

#### 1. Evasion Attacks

- Gradient-based attacks (FGSM, PGD)
- Boundary attacks
- Semantic attacks
- Physical world attacks

#### 2. Poisoning Attacks

- Training data poisoning
- Model poisoning
- Backdoor attacks
- Label flipping

#### 3. Extraction Attacks

- Model stealing
- Membership inference
- Property inference
- Model inversion

### 6.1.2 Testing Protocol Framework

#### Phase 1: Baseline Establishment

1. Normal operation metrics collection
2. Performance baseline establishment
3. Security baseline documentation
4. Monitoring baseline configuration

#### Phase 2: Controlled Attack Simulation

1. Test environment isolation
2. Attack vector implementation
3. Impact measurement
4. Recovery testing

### **Phase 3: Real-world Attack Simulation**

1. Production-like environment setup
2. Multi-vector attack chains
3. Business impact assessment
4. Incident response testing

## **6.2 LLM-Specific Testing Protocols**

### **6.2.1 OWASP LLM Top 10 Testing**

#### **LLM01: Prompt Injection**

- Direct prompt injection tests
- Indirect prompt injection via documents
- System prompt override attempts
- Jailbreaking techniques
- Role-playing attack vectors

#### **LLM02: Insecure Output Handling**

- Cross-site scripting (XSS) in outputs
- SQL injection via generated queries
- Command injection through outputs
- Path traversal in file operations

#### **LLM03: Training Data Poisoning**

- Malicious training data injection
- Backdoor trigger validation
- Bias amplification testing
- Data lineage verification

#### **LLM04: Model Denial of Service**

- Resource exhaustion attacks
- Token limit exploitation
- Infinite loop generation
- Memory consumption attacks

#### **LLM05: Supply Chain Vulnerabilities**

- Third-party model validation
- Plugin security assessment
- Dependency vulnerability scanning
- Model provenance verification

## **6.3 Infrastructure Security Testing**



### **6.3.1 Container Security Assessment**

#### **Container Image Analysis:**

- Vulnerability scanning
- Malware detection
- Secrets scanning
- Base image assessment
- Layer analysis

#### **Runtime Security Testing:**

- Escape attempt testing
- Privilege escalation testing
- Network segmentation validation
- Resource limit testing

### **6.3.2 API Security Testing**

#### **Authentication and Authorization:**

- JWT token validation
- API key management
- OAuth flow testing
- Session management
- Access control bypass attempts

#### **Input Validation:**

- Adversarial input testing
- Injection attack testing
- Data type validation
- Rate limiting validation
- Input sanitization verification

## **6.4 Testing Automation Framework**

### **6.4.1 Continuous Security Testing**

#### **CI/CD Integration Points:**

- Pre-commit security hooks
- Build-time security scanning
- Staging environment testing
- Production deployment validation
- Runtime security monitoring

#### **Automated Testing Tools:**

- Adversarial testing frameworks

- Vulnerability scanners
- Configuration analyzers
- Compliance checkers
- Performance monitors

# Risk Evaluation Framework

## 7.1 AI Security Risk Assessment Matrix

### 7.1.1 Impact Classification

Impact Level	Description	Business Impact	Technical Impact
Critical	Severe business disruption	>\$1M loss, regulatory action	Complete system compromise
High	Significant business impact	\$100K-\$1M loss, reputation damage	Major functionality loss
Medium	Moderate business impact	\$10K-\$100K loss, customer complaints	Partial functionality loss
Low	Minor business impact	<\$10K loss, internal inefficiency	Minimal functionality impact

### 7.1.2 Likelihood Assessment

Likelihood	Probability	Threat Actor	Attack Complexity
Very High	>75%	Script kiddie	Low complexity
High	50-75%	Skilled individual	Medium complexity
Medium	25-50%	Organized group	High complexity
Low	10-25%	Nation-state	Very high complexity
Very Low	<10%	Theoretical	Research-level

### 7.1.3 Risk Scoring Matrix

Impact → Likelihood ↓	Critical	High	Medium	Low
Very High	25	20	15	10
High	20	16	12	8
Medium	15	12	9	6
Low	10	8	6	4
Very Low	5	4	3	2

## **7.2 AI-Specific Risk Categories**

### **7.2.1 Model Risk Assessment**

#### **Model Integrity Risks:**

- Training data poisoning
- Model backdoors
- Adversarial perturbations
- Model drift
- Version control issues

#### **Model Confidentiality Risks:**

- Model extraction
- Membership inference
- Training data exposure
- Intellectual property theft
- Proprietary algorithm disclosure

#### **Model Availability Risks:**

- Resource exhaustion
- Inference service disruption
- Model serving failures
- Infrastructure outages
- Dependency failures

### **7.2.2 Data Risk Assessment**

#### **Data Quality Risks:**

- Biased training data
- Incomplete datasets
- Outdated information
- Inconsistent labeling
- Data corruption

#### **Data Privacy Risks:**

- Personal data exposure
- Regulatory compliance gaps
- Data residency violations
- Unauthorized data access
- Data retention issues

## **7.3 Risk Assessment Process**

### **Step 1: Risk Identification**

1. Threat modeling output review
2. Vulnerability assessment results
3. Historical incident analysis
4. Industry threat intelligence
5. Regulatory requirement analysis

### **Step 2: Risk Analysis**

1. Impact assessment
2. Likelihood evaluation
3. Risk scoring
4. Risk categorization
5. Risk interdependency analysis

### **Step 3: Risk Evaluation**

1. Risk tolerance comparison
2. Business context consideration
3. Regulatory compliance review
4. Cost-benefit analysis
5. Risk acceptance decisions

## **7.4 Risk Monitoring and Reporting**

### **7.4.1 Risk Metrics**

#### **Key Risk Indicators (KRIs):**

- Model performance degradation rate
- Adversarial attack success rate
- Data quality degradation metrics
- Security incident frequency
- Compliance violation count

#### **Risk Dashboards:**

- Real-time risk status
- Trend analysis
- Risk heat maps
- Compliance status
- Incident tracking

# Mitigation Strategies and Controls

## 8.1 Control Framework Integration

### 8.1.1 CSA AI Controls Matrix Mapping

#### Governance Controls:

- AI governance framework implementation
- Risk management procedures
- Compliance monitoring systems
- Vendor management programs
- Incident response procedures

#### Technical Controls:

- Access control mechanisms
- Encryption at rest and in transit
- Network segmentation
- Monitoring and logging
- Vulnerability management

#### Operational Controls:

- Security awareness training
- Change management procedures
- Backup and recovery plans
- Business continuity planning
- Third-party risk management

### 8.1.2 NIST AI RMF Control Integration

#### Govern Function:

- AI risk management strategy
- Organizational AI governance
- Stakeholder engagement
- Risk tolerance definition
- Policy and procedure framework

#### Map Function:

- AI system categorization
- Risk assessment procedures
- Threat modeling processes
- Impact analysis methodology
- Context establishment

#### Measure Function:

- Risk measurement methodology
- Performance metrics
- Monitoring systems
- Evaluation criteria
- Validation procedures

**Manage Function:**

- Risk response strategies
- Control implementation
- Continuous improvement
- Communication procedures
- Resource allocation

## **8.2 AI-Specific Security Controls**

### **8.2.1 Model Security Controls**

**Training Phase Controls:**

- Data provenance tracking
- Training data validation
- Secure training environments
- Model versioning systems
- Training process monitoring

**Inference Phase Controls:**

- Input validation and sanitization
- Adversarial detection systems
- Rate limiting mechanisms
- Output filtering systems
- Anomaly detection

**Deployment Controls:**

- Model integrity verification
- Secure model serving
- API security controls
- Container security hardening
- Network security controls

### **8.2.2 Data Security Controls**

**Data Collection Controls:**

- Data source validation
- Privacy-preserving techniques
- Consent management

- Data minimization practices
- Quality assurance processes

#### **Data Processing Controls:**

- Secure data pipelines
- Data anonymization/pseudonymization
- Access control enforcement
- Audit logging
- Data lineage tracking

#### **Data Storage Controls:**

- Encryption at rest
- Secure key management
- Access control lists
- Backup and recovery
- Data retention policies

### **8.3 Control Implementation Framework**

#### **8.3.1 Control Selection Process**

##### **Step 1: Risk-Based Selection**

1. Risk assessment results review
2. Regulatory requirement analysis
3. Business impact consideration
4. Technical feasibility assessment
5. Cost-benefit analysis

##### **Step 2: Control Customization**

1. Organizational context adaptation
2. Technical environment alignment
3. Resource availability consideration
4. Integration requirement analysis
5. Performance impact assessment

##### **Step 3: Implementation Planning**

1. Implementation roadmap development
2. Resource allocation planning
3. Timeline establishment
4. Success criteria definition
5. Risk mitigation during implementation



### **8.3.2 Control Effectiveness Measurement**

#### **Quantitative Metrics:**

- Control coverage percentage
- Vulnerability reduction rate
- Incident response time
- Compliance score
- Cost per control

#### **Qualitative Metrics:**

- Control maturity level
- Stakeholder satisfaction
- Regulatory compliance status
- Risk reduction effectiveness
- Integration quality

## **8.4 Mitigation Strategy Templates**

### **8.4.1 High-Risk Mitigation Template**

#### **Immediate Actions (0-30 days):**

- Implement emergency controls
- Isolate affected systems
- Activate incident response
- Notify stakeholders
- Document actions taken

#### **Short-term Actions (30-90 days):**

- Deploy interim controls
- Conduct detailed analysis
- Develop permanent solutions
- Test mitigation effectiveness
- Update risk assessments

#### **Long-term Actions (90+ days):**

- Implement permanent controls
- Conduct lessons learned
- Update procedures
- Enhance monitoring
- Improve prevention

## **Reporting and Documentation Standards**

## **9.1 Assessment Report Structure**

### **9.1.1 Executive Summary Report**

#### **Content Requirements:**

- Assessment scope and methodology
- Key findings summary
- Risk level overview
- Critical recommendations
- Business impact assessment
- Compliance status summary

**Audience:** Executive leadership, board members, regulators

### **9.1.2 Technical Report**

#### **Content Requirements:**

- Detailed methodology description
- Comprehensive findings catalog
- Technical vulnerability analysis
- Proof-of-concept demonstrations
- Detailed recommendations
- Implementation guidance

**Audience:** Technical teams, security professionals, IT management

### **9.1.3 Management Report**

#### **Content Requirements:**

- Risk management summary
- Control effectiveness assessment
- Compliance gap analysis
- Resource requirement analysis
- Timeline recommendations
- Budget considerations

**Audience:** Middle management, project managers, department heads

## **9.2 Documentation Standards**

### **9.2.1 Finding Documentation Template**

#### **Finding Classification:**

- Finding ID: Unique identifier
- Title: Descriptive title
- Category: OWASP/MITRE category

- Severity: Critical/High/Medium/Low
- CVSS Score: If applicable
- Affected Systems: List of impacted systems

#### **Technical Details:**

- Description: Detailed explanation
- Technical Impact: System-level impact
- Business Impact: Business-level impact
- Proof of Concept: Demonstration steps
- Evidence: Screenshots, logs, outputs
- Root Cause: Underlying cause analysis

#### **Remediation Guidance:**

- Recommendation: Specific actions
- Priority: Implementation priority
- Timeline: Suggested timeline
- Resources: Required resources
- Validation: Testing procedures

### **9.2.2 Test Case Documentation**

#### **Test Case Template:**

- Test ID: Unique identifier
- Test Name: Descriptive name
- Test Category: Test category
- Test Objective: Purpose
- Prerequisites: Setup requirements
- Test Steps: Detailed procedure
- Expected Results: Anticipated outcomes
- Actual Results: Observed outcomes
- Pass/Fail Status: Test result
- Notes: Additional observations

## **9.3 Quality Assurance Standards**

### **9.3.1 Report Review Process**

#### **Technical Review:**

- Technical accuracy verification
- Methodology compliance check
- Evidence validation
- Recommendation feasibility
- Risk rating consistency

#### **Editorial Review:**

- Grammar and spelling check
- Clarity and readability
- Audience appropriateness
- Formatting consistency
- Completeness verification

#### **Management Review:**

- Business impact accuracy
- Recommendation alignment
- Resource requirement validation
- Timeline feasibility
- Strategic alignment

### **9.3.2 Documentation Management**

#### **Version Control:**

- Document versioning system
- Change tracking procedures
- Approval workflows
- Distribution controls
- Retention policies

#### **Access Control:**

- Classification levels
- Access permissions
- Confidentiality marking
- Secure distribution
- Audit logging

## **9.4 Metrics and KPIs**

### **9.4.1 Assessment Metrics**

#### **Quantitative Metrics:**

- Number of vulnerabilities found
- Risk score distribution
- Control coverage percentage
- Compliance score
- Time to remediation

#### **Qualitative Metrics:**

- Assessment quality rating
- Stakeholder satisfaction
- Recommendation acceptance rate

- Follow-up effectiveness
- Continuous improvement

#### **9.4.2 Reporting Metrics**

##### **Report Quality Metrics:**

- Accuracy percentage
- Completeness score
- Timeliness rating
- Stakeholder feedback
- Action item completion

##### **Communication Effectiveness:**

- Message clarity score
- Audience engagement
- Decision support quality
- Follow-up requirements
- Feedback incorporation

## **Case Study Integration**

### **10.1 Case Study Framework**

#### **10.1.1 Case Study Categories**

##### **1. Adversarial Attack Case Studies**

- Real-world adversarial attacks
- Attack methodology analysis
- Impact assessment
- Lessons learned
- Prevention strategies

##### **2. Data Poisoning Case Studies**

- Training data compromise
- Attack techniques
- Detection methods
- Response strategies
- Recovery procedures

##### **3. Model Extraction Case Studies**

- Intellectual property theft
- Attack vectors

- Protection mechanisms
- Legal implications
- Technical countermeasures

#### **4. Compliance Violation Case Studies**

- Regulatory non-compliance
- Root cause analysis
- Remediation approaches
- Process improvements
- Preventive measures

##### **10.1.2 Case Study Template**

###### **Case Study Structure:**

- Executive Summary
- Background and Context
- Timeline of Events
- Technical Analysis
- Impact Assessment
- Response Actions
- Lessons Learned
- Recommendations
- Follow-up Actions

#### **10.2 Industry-Specific Case Studies**

##### **10.2.1 Healthcare AI Security**

###### **Case Study: Medical Imaging AI Adversarial Attack**

- Background: Radiology AI system compromise
- Attack Vector: Adversarial perturbations in medical images
- Impact: Misdiagnosis potential, patient safety risk
- Response: Model retraining, input validation enhancement
- Lessons: Importance of adversarial robustness in safety-critical applications

###### **Security Implications:**

- Patient safety considerations
- Regulatory compliance requirements
- Liability and insurance implications
- Clinical workflow integration
- Stakeholder communication

##### **10.2.2 Financial Services AI Security**

###### **Case Study: Credit Scoring Model Bias Exploitation**

- Background: AI bias in credit decision-making
- Attack Vector: Demographic data manipulation
- Impact: Unfair lending practices, regulatory violations
- Response: Bias detection implementation, model retraining
- Lessons: Importance of fairness testing and monitoring

#### **Security Implications:**

- Regulatory compliance (Fair Credit Reporting Act)
- Reputation risk management
- Customer trust implications
- Legal liability considerations
- Stakeholder engagement

### **10.2.3 Autonomous Vehicle AI Security**

#### **Case Study: Traffic Sign Recognition System Attack**

- Background: Autonomous vehicle vision system
- Attack Vector: Physical adversarial patches on traffic signs
- Impact: Safety-critical decision errors
- Response: Multi-modal validation, human oversight
- Lessons: Need for robust perception systems

#### **Security Implications:**

- Public safety considerations
- Regulatory oversight requirements
- Liability and insurance implications
- Technology adoption impact
- Industry collaboration needs

## **10.3 Emerging Threat Case Studies**

### **10.3.1 Large Language Model Security**

#### **Case Study: Enterprise LLM Data Leakage**

- Background: Company-wide LLM deployment
- Attack Vector: Prompt injection leading to training data exposure
- Impact: Confidential information disclosure
- Response: Prompt filtering, output sanitization
- Lessons: Importance of LLM-specific security controls

#### **Security Implications:**

- Intellectual property protection
- Customer data privacy
- Regulatory compliance

- Business continuity
- Stakeholder trust

### **10.3.2 Federated Learning Security**

#### **Case Study: Federated Learning Poisoning Attack**

- Background: Multi-party federated learning system
- Attack Vector: Malicious participant poisoning the global model
- Impact: Model performance degradation
- Response: Robust aggregation mechanisms
- Lessons: Need for participant validation and monitoring

#### **Security Implications:**

- Trust in federated environments
- Quality assurance mechanisms
- Participant screening procedures
- Monitoring and detection systems
- Response and recovery procedures

## **10.4 Case Study Application Guidelines**

### **10.4.1 Learning Integration**

#### **Assessment Phase Integration:**

- Use case studies to inform threat modeling
- Apply lessons learned to risk assessment
- Incorporate case study findings in control selection
- Reference case studies in recommendation development

#### **Training and Awareness:**

- Include case studies in security training
- Use case studies for tabletop exercises
- Develop scenario-based training modules
- Create awareness materials with case study examples

### **10.4.2 Continuous Improvement**

#### **Case Study Updates:**

- Regular case study database updates
- Emerging threat case study development
- Industry-specific case study expansion
- Lessons learned integration

#### **Knowledge Sharing:**



- Internal case study sharing
- Industry collaboration
- Conference presentations
- Research publication

# References and Standards

## 11.1 Primary Framework References

### 11.1.1 MITRE ATLAS

- **Full Name:** Adversarial Threat Landscape for Artificial-Intelligence Systems
- **Version:** 4.0
- **URL:** <https://atlas.mitre.org>
- **Application:** Threat modeling, attack technique identification
- **Key Components:** Tactics, techniques, procedures (TTPs), case studies

### 11.1.2 OWASP LLM Top 10

- **Full Name:** OWASP Top 10 for Large Language Model Applications
- **Version:** 1.1
- **URL:** <https://owasp.org/www-project-top-10-for-large-language-model-applications/>
- **Application:** LLM-specific vulnerability assessment
- **Key Components:** Vulnerability categories, prevention strategies

### 11.1.3 NIST AI Risk Management Framework

- **Full Name:** NIST AI Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0)
- **Version:** 1.0
- **URL:** <https://www.nist.gov/itl/ai-risk-management-framework>
- **Application:** Risk management lifecycle, governance
- **Key Components:** Govern, Map, Measure, Manage functions

### 11.1.4 Google SAIF

- **Full Name:** Google Secure AI Framework
- **Version:** Current
- **URL:** <https://blog.google/technology/safety-security/introducing-googles-secure-ai-framework/>
- **Application:** Secure AI development and deployment
- **Key Components:** Foundation elements, security principles

### 11.1.5 ISO/IEC 27090

- **Full Name:** Cybersecurity — Artificial Intelligence — Guidance on AI system security
- **Version:** 2023
- **Status:** Published
- **Application:** AI system security requirements
- **Key Components:** Security controls, risk management

### 11.1.6 CSA AI Controls Matrix

- **Full Name:** Cloud Security Alliance AI/ML Security Controls Matrix
- **Version:** 1.0

- **URL:** <https://cloudsecurityalliance.org/artifacts/ai-controls-matrix/>
- **Application:** Security control selection and implementation
- **Key Components:** Control catalog, mapping to frameworks

## 11.2 Supporting Standards and Guidelines

### 11.2.1 International Standards

- **ISO/IEC 27001:2022** - Information Security Management Systems
- **ISO/IEC 27002:2022** - Code of Practice for Information Security Controls
- **ISO/IEC 27005:2018** - Information Security Risk Management
- **ISO/IEC 27032:2012** - Guidelines for Cybersecurity
- **ISO/IEC 23053:2022** - Framework for AI systems using ML

### 11.2.2 Industry Guidelines

- **ENISA AI Cybersecurity Challenges** - European Union Agency for Cybersecurity
- **NCSC AI Security Guidance** - UK National Cyber Security Centre
- **CISA AI Security Guidelines** - Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency
- **IEEE Standards for AI/ML Security** - Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
- **FAIR AI Security Risk Assessment** - Factor Analysis of Information Risk

### 11.2.3 Regulatory Frameworks

- **EU AI Act** - European Union Artificial Intelligence Act
- **GDPR** - General Data Protection Regulation (AI implications)
- **CCPA** - California Consumer Privacy Act (AI provisions)
- **SOX** - Sarbanes-Oxley Act (AI system controls)
- **HIPAA** - Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (AI healthcare)

## 11.3 Technical References

### 11.3.1 Academic Research

- **Adversarial ML Literature** - Comprehensive research on adversarial attacks and defenses
- **Differential Privacy Research** - Privacy-preserving machine learning techniques
- **Federated Learning Security** - Distributed learning security challenges
- **Explainable AI Security** - Interpretability and security intersection

### 11.3.2 Industry Publications

- **NIST Special Publication 800-53** - Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems
- **CIS Controls v8** - Center for Internet Security Critical Security Controls
- **SANS AI Security Guidelines** - SANS Institute AI security recommendations
- **Gartner AI Security Research** - Industry analysis and recommendations

# Appendices

## Appendix A: Risk Assessment Templates

### A.1 AI System Risk Assessment Form

#### System Information:

- System Name: \_\_\_\_\_
- System Owner: \_\_\_\_\_
- Business Function: \_\_\_\_\_
- Criticality Level: \_\_\_\_\_
- Data Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Risk Assessment Matrix:

Risk ID	Threat Source	Vulnerability	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score	Mitigation
R001						
R002						
R003						
R004						
R005						

#### Risk Summary:

- Total Risks Identified: \_\_\_\_\_
- Critical Risks: \_\_\_\_\_
- High Risks: \_\_\_\_\_
- Medium Risks: \_\_\_\_\_
- Low Risks: \_\_\_\_\_

### A.2 Threat Modeling Template

#### System Overview:

- System Architecture: \_\_\_\_\_
- Trust Boundaries: \_\_\_\_\_
- Data Flows: \_\_\_\_\_
- External Dependencies: \_\_\_\_\_

### STRIDE Analysis:

Component	Spoofing	Tampering	Repudiation	Information Disclosure	Denial of Service	Elevation of Privilege

### MITRE ATLAS Mapping:

Tactic	Technique	Applicability	Risk Level	Notes

## Appendix B: Security Testing Checklists

### B.1 AI Model Security Testing Checklist

#### Pre-Testing Setup:

- Test environment is isolated from production
- Baseline performance metrics established
- Test data prepared and validated
- Monitoring systems configured
- Rollback procedures defined

#### Adversarial Testing:

- Gradient-based attacks (FGSM, PGD)
- Boundary-based attacks
- Semantic adversarial examples
- Physical world attacks
- Transferability testing

#### Robustness Testing:

- Input perturbation testing
- Noise resilience testing
- Edge case handling
- Out-of-distribution detection
- Concept drift testing

**Privacy Testing:**

- Membership inference attacks
- Model inversion attacks
- Property inference attacks
- Training data extraction
- Differential privacy validation

**Fairness Testing:**

- Demographic parity testing
- Equalized odds testing
- Calibration testing
- Individual fairness testing
- Bias amplification testing

**B.2 LLM Security Testing Checklist****Prompt Injection Testing:**

- Direct prompt injection
- Indirect prompt injection
- System prompt override
- Jailbreaking attempts
- Role-playing attacks

**Output Security Testing:**

- Sensitive information leakage
- Malicious code generation
- Harmful content generation
- Misinformation generation
- Bias amplification

**Training Data Security:**

- Training data extraction
- Memorization testing
- Privacy leakage detection
- Copyright violation detection
- Personally identifiable information exposure

**Model Capabilities Testing:**

- Capability elicitation
- Misuse potential assessment
- Dual-use capability testing
- Emergent behaviour detection
- Alignment testing

## B.3 Infrastructure Security Testing Checklist

### Container Security:

- Image vulnerability scanning
- Runtime security testing
- Privilege escalation testing
- Network isolation testing
- Resource limit testing

### API Security:

- Authentication bypass testing
- Authorization testing
- Input validation testing
- Rate limiting testing
- Error handling testing

### Data Pipeline Security:

- Data injection testing
- Data tampering detection
- Access control testing
- Encryption validation
- Audit logging verification

### Monitoring and Logging:

- Log injection testing
- Monitoring bypass testing
- Alert testing
- Incident response testing
- Forensic capability testing

## Appendix C: Control Implementation Guides

### C.1 Technical Control Implementation

#### Access Control Implementation:

# Example: Role-Based Access Control for AI Systems

apiVersion: rbac.authorization.k8s.io/v1

kind: Role

metadata:

name: ai-model-reader

rules:

- apiGroups: [""]

resources: ["configmaps", "secrets"]

verbs: ["get", "list"]

```
- apiGroups: ["apps"]
  resources: ["deployments"]
  verbs: ["get", "list", "watch"]
```

### **Encryption Implementation:**

```
# Example: Model Encryption at Rest
import cryptography
from cryptography.fernet import Fernet

def encrypt_model(model_data, key):
    f = Fernet(key)
    encrypted_data = f.encrypt(model_data)
    return encrypted_data

def decrypt_model(encrypted_data, key):
    f = Fernet(key)
    decrypted_data = f.decrypt(encrypted_data)
    return decrypted_data
```

### **Input Validation Implementation:**

```
# Example: Adversarial Input Detection
import numpy as np
from scipy.stats import entropy

def detect_adversarial_input(input_data, threshold=0.5):
    # Statistical analysis for adversarial detection
    input_entropy = entropy(input_data.flatten())

    if input_entropy > threshold:
        return True, "High entropy detected - potential adversarial input"

    return False, "Input appears normal"
```

## **C.2 Organizational Control Implementation**

### **AI Governance Framework:**

1. AI Ethics Committee
  - Charter and responsibilities
  - Membership and expertise requirements
  - Meeting frequency and documentation
  - Decision-making processes
  - Escalation procedures



## 2. AI Risk Management Program

- Risk assessment procedures
- Risk tolerance definition
- Risk monitoring systems
- Risk reporting mechanisms
- Risk mitigation strategies

## 3. AI Security Policies

- AI system development policies
- AI deployment policies
- AI monitoring policies
- AI incident response policies
- AI compliance policies

### **Training and Awareness Program:**

#### 1. Security Awareness Training

- AI security fundamentals
- Threat awareness
- Incident reporting procedures
- Best practices
- Regular updates

#### 2. Technical Training

- Secure AI development
- Security testing techniques
- Incident response procedures
- Tool usage training
- Hands-on exercises

#### 3. Leadership Training

- AI risk management
- Governance responsibilities
- Decision-making frameworks
- Regulatory compliance
- Strategic planning

## **Appendix D: Compliance Mapping**

### **D.1 Regulatory Compliance Matrix**

Regulation	Applicable Requirements	AI-Specific Considerations	Control Mapping
GDPR	Data protection, privacy rights	Automated decision-making, profiling	Privacy controls, consent management

<b>EU AI Act</b>	Risk management, transparency	High-risk AI systems, prohibited practices	Risk assessment, documentation
<b>SOX</b>	Internal controls, financial reporting	AI in financial processes	Change management, audit trails
<b>HIPAA</b>	Health information protection	AI in healthcare applications	Data encryption, access controls
<b>PCI DSS</b>	Payment card data protection	AI in payment processing	Data protection, network security

## D.2 Industry Standard Compliance

### ISO 27001 Compliance:

- A.5 Information Security Policies
- A.6 Organization of Information Security
- A.7 Human Resource Security
- A.8 Asset Management
- A.9 Access Control
- A.10 Cryptography
- A.11 Physical and Environmental Security
- A.12 Operations Security
- A.13 Communications Security
- A.14 System Acquisition, Development and Maintenance
- A.15 Supplier Relationships
- A.16 Information Security Incident Management
- A.17 Information Security Aspects of Business Continuity Management
- A.18 Compliance

### NIST Cybersecurity Framework Mapping:

- **Identify (ID):** Asset management, governance, risk assessment
- **Protect (PR):** Access control, awareness training, data security
- **Detect (DE):** Anomaly detection, monitoring, detection processes
- **Respond (RS):** Response planning, communications, analysis
- **Recover (RC):** Recovery planning, improvements, communications

## Appendix E: Metrics and KPIs

### E.1 Security Metrics Dashboard

#### Risk Metrics:

- Total risk score
- Risk trend analysis
- Risk by category
- Risk mitigation progress

- Residual risk levels

#### **Vulnerability Metrics:**

- Vulnerability count by severity
- Time to vulnerability detection
- Time to vulnerability remediation
- Vulnerability recurrence rate
- Zero-day vulnerability exposure

#### **Incident Metrics:**

- Incident count and trends
- Mean time to detection (MTTD)
- Mean time to response (MTTR)
- Incident severity distribution
- Incident recurrence rate

#### **Compliance Metrics:**

- Compliance score by framework
- Control implementation status
- Audit findings and remediation
- Regulatory violation count
- Compliance trend analysis

### **E.2 Operational Metrics**

#### **Assessment Metrics:**

- Assessment completion rate
- Assessment quality score
- Stakeholder satisfaction
- Finding accuracy rate
- Recommendation adoption rate

#### **Training Metrics:**

- Training completion rate
- Knowledge retention score
- Skill improvement metrics
- Certification achievement
- Training effectiveness

#### **Process Metrics:**

- Process maturity level
- Process efficiency metrics
- Process compliance rate
- Process improvement rate

- Stakeholder engagement

## **Appendix F: Tools and Technologies**

### **F.1 Security Testing Tools**

#### **Adversarial Testing Tools:**

- **Adversarial Robustness Toolbox (ART)** - IBM Research
- **CleverHans** - Google Research
- **Foolbox** - University of Tübingen
- **SecML** - University of Cagliari
- **TextAttack** - QData Lab

#### **Vulnerability Assessment Tools:**

- **Bandit** - Python security linter
- **Safety** - Python dependency security checker
- **Snyk** - Dependency vulnerability scanner
- **OWASP Dependency-Check** - Dependency vulnerability scanner
- **Semgrep** - Static analysis tool

#### **Container Security Tools:**

- **Trivy** - Container vulnerability scanner
- **Clair** - Container vulnerability analyzer
- **Anchore** - Container security platform
- **Falco** - Runtime security monitoring
- **Twistlock** - Container security platform

#### **API Security Tools:**

- **OWASP ZAP** - Web application security scanner
- **Burp Suite** - Web application security testing
- **Postman** - API testing platform
- **Insomnia** - API testing tool
- **Newman** - Command-line API testing

### **F.2 Monitoring and Detection Tools**

#### **AI-Specific Monitoring:**

- **Evidently** - ML model monitoring
- **Whylogs** - Data and ML monitoring
- **Neptune** - ML experiment tracking
- **Weights & Biases** - ML experiment tracking
- **MLflow** - ML lifecycle management

#### **Security Monitoring:**

- **Elastic Security** - Security information and event management
- **Splunk** - Security monitoring and analytics
- **Datadog** - Infrastructure and application monitoring
- **New Relic** - Application performance monitoring
- **Prometheus** - Metrics collection and alerting

#### **Threat Intelligence:**

- **MISP** - Threat intelligence platform
- **OpenCTI** - Open threat intelligence platform
- **ThreatConnect** - Threat intelligence platform
- **Recorded Future** - Threat intelligence
- **FireEye** - Threat intelligence

### **F.3 Governance and Compliance Tools**

#### **Risk Management:**

- **ServiceNow GRC** - Governance, risk, and compliance
- **RSA Archer** - Risk management platform
- **MetricStream** - Risk and compliance management
- **LogicGate** - Risk management platform
- **Resolver** - Risk management software

#### **Policy Management:**

- **MetricStream** - Policy management
- **LogicGate** - Policy management
- **ServiceNow** - Policy management
- **Compliance.ai** - Regulatory compliance
- **Thomson Reuters** - Regulatory compliance

# Document Control

## Version History

Version	Date	Author	Description
1.0	July 2025	AI Security Team	Initial release

## Document Approval

Role	Name	Signature	Date
Author			
Technical Reviewer			
Security Manager			
Chief Information Security Officer			

## Distribution List

Role/Department	Name	Email	Date Distributed
Security Team			
IT Management			
Compliance Team			
Legal Department			
Executive Leadership			

## **Next Review Date**

**Scheduled Review:** January 2026

**Review Frequency:** Annually or upon significant changes to:

- Regulatory requirements
- Industry standards
- Organizational structure
- Technology stack
- Threat landscape

# Glossary

**Adversarial Attack:** A technique used to fool AI models by providing deceptive input data designed to cause misclassification or unintended behavior.

**AI Pipeline:** The complete workflow for developing, training, deploying, and maintaining AI models, including data collection, preprocessing, training, validation, deployment, and monitoring.

**Backdoor Attack:** A type of attack where malicious functionality is embedded in an AI model during training, which can be triggered by specific inputs.

**Data Poisoning:** The practice of intentionally introducing malicious or biased data into a training dataset to compromise the AI model's performance or behavior.

**Differential Privacy:** A mathematical framework for measuring and limiting the privacy risk of statistical databases and machine learning models.

**Federated Learning:** A machine learning approach where models are trained across multiple decentralized edge devices or servers without sharing raw data.

**Large Language Model (LLM):** A type of AI model trained on vast amounts of text data to understand and generate human-like text.

**Membership Inference Attack:** An attack that determines whether a specific data point was part of the training dataset of a machine learning model.

**Model Extraction:** The process of stealing or replicating a proprietary AI model by querying it and analyzing its responses.

**Model Inversion:** A type of attack that attempts to reconstruct training data from a trained model.

**Prompt Injection:** An attack technique specific to language models where malicious instructions are embedded in prompts to manipulate model behavior.

**Threat Modeling:** A structured approach to identifying, analyzing, and mitigating potential security threats to a system.

*This document represents the current state of AI security methodology best practices. It should be reviewed and updated regularly to reflect evolving threats, technologies, and regulatory requirements.*



**Document Classification:** Internal Use Only

**Security Level:** Confidential

**Distribution:** Controlled

**Contact Information:**

- **AI Security Team:** ai-security@cberforce.com.tr
- **Document Owner:** Chief Information Security Officer
- **Emergency Contact:** 24/7 Security Operations Center

**Last Updated:** July 18, 2025

**Next Review:** January 18, 2026